



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

WILLIAM G. DEAN, Jr.	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
vs.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:06-1460-HFF-BHH
	§
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	§
Commissioner of Social Security,	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This is a Social Security case in which Plaintiff seeks judicial review of the final decision of Defendant denying his claim for Supplemental Security Income. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the decision of Defendant be reversed and remanded under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings as set forth therein. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on June 1, 2007. Neither party filed any objections to the Report. In the absence of objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that the decision of Defendant be **REVERSED** and **REMANDED** under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings as set forth in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 19th day of June, 2007, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd
HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE